(SMT .YASHODHARA DASAPPA)

(*b*) 25.

(*c*) Grantees have failed to put up the houses inspite of payment of the admissible cash grant of Rs. 400 and distribution of the materials also due to them. The incompletion is seem to be due to their own slackness.

(*d*) 25 from Marangere and 25 from Nimbekaipura.

(*e*) Action has been taken on all the applications received and full grant is paid. In case of incomplete houses, notices have been issued to the grantees to construct the houses within a certain date.

† ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಯಶೋಧರ ದಾಸಪ್ಪ.—(ಬ)ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ 25 ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿದ್ದಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೈರೇ ಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಎರಡು ಊರುಗಳವರಿಗೂ ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕ್ಯಾಷ್ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೇ ಏಕೆ ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬಾರದು ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಯಶೋಧರ ದಾಸಪ್ಪ.—ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ 400 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ನಗದು ಮತ್ತು ಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಅದು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೂ ಸಂದಾಯವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೈರೇ ಗೌಡ.—ಅವರು ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಯಶೋಧರ ದಾಸಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾರನಗೆರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು ಜನರು ಕಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ, ನಿಂಬಕಾಯಿಪುರದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಕೆಲವರು ಕಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೆರ್ಯೇ ಗೌಡ.—ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದಲೇ ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಯಶೋಧರ ದಾಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೂ ಹಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ, ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಹೀಗಿರುವಾಗ ಹೇಗೆ ಸರಕಾರದಿಂದ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯ ?

Acquisition Notification in respect of Akkithimmanahalli now called Shanthinagar in Bangalore.

* *Q.*—276. **Sri B. NANJAPPA** (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when the acquisition Notification was issued in respect of Akkithimmanahally now called Shanthinagar in Bangalore ;

(*b*) whether formation of any private layout has been permited either by the City Improvement Trust Board or by the Corporation after the issue of the Notification ;

(*c*) if so, how many private layouts have been sanctioned after the issue of the Notification ?

A.—**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY** (Minister for Municipal Administration).—

(*a*) Preliminary Notification dated 18th June 1960 was published in the *Mysore Gazette*, dated 4th August 1960 and Final Notification No. PLM-233 GBQ 63, dated 13th December 1963 was published in the *Mysore Gazette*, dated 26th December 1963.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Private layouts were sanctioned by the Corporation and 1 private layout by the City Improvement Trust Board after the issue of the Preliminary Notification.

† **Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—Sir, answer to supplementary (c) may kindly be read as 3 private lay outs sanctioned by the Corporation and one lay out by the City Improvement Trust Board.

Sri B. NANJAPPA.—May I know the reason for the sanctioning of the private lay out by the Corporation and the City Improvement Trust Board?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—The reason is that they have sanctioned the private lay outs. There were applications, the City Improvement Trust Board has gone on sanctioning private lay outs even though they themselves have taken to forming lay outs.

Sri B. NANJAPPA.—Is there any private lay out pending before the Corporation or the Trust Board?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I am not aware of any pendency of application for private lay outs.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ನಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ರೀತಿ ಲೇ ಔಟ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ,

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I may state that even if there are any applications, now that the final notification has been issued under the Trust Board Act and working of laying of extensions is taken up, private lay out should not be taken into consideration.

Sri B. NANJAPPA.—Are there not private lay outs formed even after the notification is issued?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—If there are, it is not desirable. The Corporation should not have done that.

Sri B. NANJAPPA.—Is it not a fact that even the Trust Board have sanctioned private lay outs?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—One lay out was permitted; the Trust Board released only 16 guntas of land probably because it may be adjacent to a residential locality. They may have recovered sufficient lay out charges and it may be in keeping with the lay out they have prepared. So they might have approved it. But, even that should not be done.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—When the preliminary notification was issued, private lay outs were sanctioned and now when final notification is issued, they say they will not be sanctioned. Does it not amount to discrimination? What difficulty is there in giving sanctions to such of those who come forward before final notification is published for forming private lay outs as per sanctioned plans and estimates?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—This lay out of Akkithimmanahally, has been sanctioned and final notification has been issued. Our land Acquisition Officers are acquiring the land and it is the intention of the Trust Board to do the work by themselves. It has been our experience that in private lay outs the work is not up to the standard. Therefore we do no permit forming of private lay outs.

Sri B. NANJAPPA.—Are there persons who have applied for forming private lay outs, and is it a fact that they have not been replied either from the Corporation or the Trust Board?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—If the hon'ble member looks into the Trust Board Act, it will be clear that it is the Trust Board alone that can taken up the work of lay outs. Unfortunately the Corporation has gone on permitting private lay outs. Therefore, if there are any applications pending with the Corporation, under law it is not in a position to sanction private lay outs. It has been clearly pointed out.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Will the Government consider the question of giving permission to private layouts for which applications have been made before the publication of the final notification?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I have already made clear that the Trust Board will not countenance any application for private lay outs where they are actually working.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಕನ್ನಡದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಏಳು ನೆಲಗಟ್ಟುಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ನಿನವರು ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಮೂರು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಯಾವುದು ನರಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I have already corrected the answer by saying that it is 3.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಮೂರು ಖಾತರಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳೋ ಅಥವಾ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳೋ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—They are individuals. It is not an organisation.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲವು ಜನ ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರೇ ಔಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಹಣಸಂಪಾದನೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಒಂದು ಉದ್ಯೋಗ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಿದರೆ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಆದಾಯಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—This relates to Akkithimmanahalli and I have furnished all information.

The establishment of Ryots' Multi-Purpose Co-operative Society, Mandya

*Q.—295. Sri J. DEVIAH (Mandya).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the date on which the Ryots' Multi-purpose Co-operative Society. Mandya (Ryots' Agricultural Produce Marketing Co-operative Society) was established;

(*b*) the amount of grant and loan given to the said Society for the construction of the building of the said Society;

(*c*) the amount so far spent for the construction of the building;